Appendix A.3 Feedback comments

Contents

"Building information management and modelling (BIM II)", autumn 2023, study information system 1
Student's self-assessment 1
Course feedback 1
Feedback to lecturer 2
Open-ended questions 3

"Building information management and modelling (BIM II)", autumn 2023, study information system

- **questions general avg.:** 4.3 (in 2022 autumn: 4.41)
- **number of declarations:** 37 (in 2022 autumn: 37)
- **number of respondents:** 35 (in 2022 autumn: 35)
- **response rate (%):** 94.59 (in 2022 autumn: 94.59)

Student's self-assessment

1. **I acquired the knowledge and skills described in the learning outcomes as a result of completion of the course – average mark of 4.32**

   Comments: None

2. **The workload of the course corresponded to the credit points (taking into account that 1 ECTS credit point corresponds to 26 hours of lectures and independent work) – average mark of 4.47**

   Comments: None

3. **I made my best to contribute to studying (participation in lectures, seminars, independent work, homework, etc.) – average mark of 4.44**

   Comments: None

Course feedback

4. **The organisation and structure of the course was logical and easy to follow – average mark of 4.37**

   Comments: None

5. **The study materials were relevant (up to date, comprehensible and related to the subject) – average mark of 4.26**

   Comments:

   [In Estonian]

   - Mingid asjad olid eelmisest kursusest jäänud projekti juhendisse ning nende järgi oli keeruline ülesandeid lahendada.
   - Õppematerjalid olid veidi puudulikud ning nende põhjal oli keeruline iseseisvat tööd sooritada. Peaaegu igas mooduli pidi internetist abi otsima ning väga palju katse-eksitus meetodil eksima. Ebakindlust oli palju, see oli ainukene murekoht. Pidepunkte oleks võinud rohkem olla.

6. **E-support to the course (electronic learning environment, digital materials, etc.) helped me acquire the subject – average mark of 4.37**

   Comments: None

7. **Evaluation was carried out in line with the evaluation criteria – average mark of 4.55**

   Comments: None

Feedback to lecturer

8. **The lecturer had good command of the subject and was able to pass on his/her knowledge to students – average mark of 4.31**

   Comments:

   [In Estonian]

   - Kodutööde tegemise juhendid olid kohati segased, ei saanud kohe alguses aru, mida täpsemalt kodutöö tulemusena oodati

9. **The teaching methods used, the exercises and other activities carried out by the lecturer provided me guidance and motivation to learn – average mark of 3.97**

   Comments: None

10. **The lecturer provided relevant and timely feedback to my studies – average mark of 4.14**

    Comments:

    [In Estonian]

    - Tagasiside andmine kohati väga kaootiline (kohati saad tagasisde päevaga, teine kord ootad 3-5 päeva). Seda eriti kui vahetähtajad iga kahe nädala tagant.

- Võiks olla paremini kokku lepitud semestri alguses, mis ajal õppejõud tudengitele nädala sees tagasisidet annab. Meil tekkis probleem, kuna meie põhiliselt tegelesime kodutöödega nädala teises pooles ning seetõttu tagasidestamine muutus probleemseks.

11. I was satisfied with the lecturer's communication skills in the language of instruction – average mark of 4.37

Comments: None

Open-ended questions

12. Positive observations on the course and/or lecturer

Comments:

[In Estonian]

- Parim moodle kasutus
- Õppejõud aitab probleemid lahendada ja reageerib kiiresti.

13. Shortcomings in the course and/or the lecturer's teaching activities

Comments:

[In Estonian]

- Ei olnud
- Soovitan õppejõul anda lähteülesannetes juhised moodulite töömahu jagamisel.
- Tuleks anda rohkem otsest abi tudengile kes saadab sõnumi, mitte lasta tal teha postitus moodle foorumisse ja siis hakata vastust ootama.